Receivership Schools ONLY

Quarterly Report #3: January 15, 2019 to April 19, 2019 and Continuation Plan for 2019-20 School Year

School Name	School BEDS Code	District	Lead Partner or EPO	Hyperlink to where this report will be posted on the district website: https://www.rcsdk12.org/Page/43321			
Roberto Clemente School #8	261600010008	Rochester City School District	EL Education	Check which plan b	elow applie	s:	
				SIG Cohort (5, 6, or 7): 6 Model: Community Oriented		SCEP	
Superintendent/EPO	School Principal	Additional District S Program Oversight	Staff working on	Grade Configuration	% ELL	% SWD	Total Enrollment
Daniel G. Lowengard, Interim Superintendent	Laurel Avery-DeToy Appointment Date: August 2015	Amy Schiavi, Chief of School Lead Intensive Support at Michele Alberti Wh Executive Director of Carrie Pecor, Director of Program	nd Innovation ite, of School Innovation	Pk3-8	9.9% ELL 0.8% Former ELL Internal	19.1% Internal SPA Data 4/5/19	487 Internal SPA Data 4/5/19
					SPA Data 4/5/19		

Executive Summary

Please provide a <u>plain-language summary</u> of this quarter in terms of implementing key strategies, engaging the community, enacting Receivership, and assessing Level 1 and Level 2 indicator data. The summary should be written in terms easily understood by the community-at-large. Please avoid terms and acronyms that are unfamiliar to the public, and limit the summary to <u>no more than 500 words</u>.

Roberto Clemente School #8 is proud to report its improvement in accountability status. It has officially moved from Receivership into Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) status. The school will continue to build on the improvement practices it has established, with particular review of and focus on practices supporting its struggling subgroup (Hispanic), as identified under the ESSA accountability determinations of (1/16/19). Work at School #8 will continue to embrace ELA, Math, improved attendance, decreased suspensions and other best practices for students, staff and families at Roberto Clemente School 8.



The school's plan for improvement continues to be centered on the following key strategies, which have been refined and deepened throughout the school year:

- 1. Implementing a strong, sustainable EL Education (Expeditionary Learning) Community School Model that involves all stakeholders.
- 2. Utilizing consistent, effective good first teaching that includes Common Core lessons for ELA and Math, interventions that provide gap-closing educational opportunities for students, and enrichment opportunities. This includes Data Driven Instructional planning to identify performance trends and implement strategies that meet the needs of all students.
- 3. Implementing Expanded Learning Time to deliver at least 200 additional hours of quality instruction, focused on intervention, acceleration and enrichment.

School 8 continues to focus on the implementation of the school's EL Workplan. This plan serves as the framework for good first teaching and professional learning. The school continues to focus on both administrative and teacher walkthroughs to continue to improve teaching practice. The school continues to utilize the 6+1 traits of writing to support writing development across the building. Each grade level is either in the midst of or preparing to begin their second expedition of the school year. The work from these expeditions will be highlighted at the June 13 Exhibition Night.

School 8 continues to utilize a multi-tiered system of supports for the social/emotional development of its students. The "Student Action Team" continues to meet to support students and to date has received 50 referrals (04/15/19). In addition to the internal social emotional supports the school has partnered with many outside organizations including Center For Youth, Genesee Mental Health, and Pathways to Peace. In addition, there are continued partnerships with St. Thomas Episcopal Church (Tutoring), Rochester Rotary (25 years providing resources, tutoring and supports), TDI (Therapy Dog International), and Champions Academy (Mentoring of 8th graders).

To date the school has held monthly family events including Game Night (9/25), Open House (10/4), Hispanic Heritage Night (10/18), Math and Movement Night (11/19), Expedition Night (12/13), Snuggle Up and Read (1/25), Parent Engagement Night (3/14), Healthy Food / Salad Bar (4/11).

School #8 is in its first full year of implementing the Community School Framework. At the end of the 17-18 School Year, a Community Schools Needs Assessment was conducted, and from that a series of recommendations were made. The school is currently working on the following needs: 1) Increasing parent involvement; 2) Improving building attendance to 90%; 3) Increasing access to Mental Health supports for students and families.

<u>Attention</u> – This document is intended to be completed by the School Receiver and/or its designee and submitted electronically to OISR@NYSED.gov. It is a self-assessment of the implementation and outcomes of key strategies related to Receivership, and as such, should <u>not</u> be considered a formal evaluation on the part of the New York State Education Department. This document also serves as the Progress Review Report for Receivership schools receiving School Improvement Grant (SIG) funds. Additionally, this document serves as the quarterly reporting instrument for Receivership schools with School Comprehensive Education Plans (SCEP). The Quarterly Report in its entirety <u>must be posted</u> on the district web-site.

<u>Please note</u> - This document also serves as the Continuation Plan for Receivership schools for the 2019-20 school year. All prompts submitted under the "2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan" heading should directly align with or be adaptations to approved intervention plans (SIG, or SCEP), and must have input from community engagement teams.

<u>Directions for Part I and II</u> - District and school staff should respond to the sections of this document by both analyzing and summarizing the key strategies of the third quarter in light of their realized level of implementation and their impact on student learning outcomes. <u>2019-20 Continuation Plan</u> sections are an opportunity for district and school staff to present their proposed actions and adaptations for the upcoming school year. This is intended to create the framework by which the school transitions from the current year, using its own summary analysis, to the upcoming school year in a manner that represents continuous and comprehensive planning. The District should ensure the key strategies address the needs of all learners, particularly the needs of subgroups of students and those at risk for not meeting the challenging State academic standards. District and school staff should consider the impact of proposed key strategies on student learning, as well as the long-term sustainability and connectivity of those key strategies to diagnostic review feedback.

Part I – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 1)

LEVEL 1 Indicators

Please list the school's Level 1 indicators and complete all columns below. This information provides details about the likelihood of meeting the established targets. If you choose to send us data documents that you reference, simply send a sample page or example, rather than the entire document. Your analysis of your data is the focus.

,						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Identify Indicator	Baseline	2018-19 Progress Target	Status (R/Y/G)	Based on the current implementation status, does the school expect to meet the 2018-19 progress target for this indicator? For each Level 1 indicator, please answer yes or no below.	What are the SCEP/SIG/SIF goals and or key strategies that have supported progress in this demonstrable improvement indicator? Include a discussion of any adjustments made to key strategies since the last reporting period and a rationale as to why these adjustments were made.	What are the formative data points that are being utilized to assess progress towards the target for this demonstrable improvement indicator?	What are the formative data points that are being utilized to assess progress towards the target for this demonstrable improvement indicator?	2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan for Meeting this Indicator
#5 – School Safety	19	<5 Serious Incident s or 20%		The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718.	Cool Down Zone: Center for Youth continues to partner with School #8 as part of its tiered	 Attendance data Suspension data Cool Down Zone data Referral data 	Average Daily Attendance Data: As of 04/19/19, the school ADA is at 89.1%; the RCSD is at 84.8%. The school and district continue to support those students who have enrolled elsewhere	Attendance The school will continue to work with the district attendance team as well as the internal attendance team to support the chronically absent students. Work will



		1		1 0 01 1 1 1 1
reductio	Current trends	intervention. In	and those who are in need of attendance	also continue with teachers to ensure that
n	point towards	addition to supporting	interventions.	attendance is taken properly to make sure
	meeting this	individual students in	School 8's Attendance Team continues to	that all students are accurately accounted
	target for	need, the creation of	collaborate with the RCSD's Attendance	for.
	SY1819.	various groups will be	Team to increase student achievement.	
		used to support social	There are currently 22 students who, due	Cool Down Zone
		emotional needs.	to their chronic absenteeism, affect the	The building will continue its partnership
			school's overall attendance. The team	with the Center For Youth to staff the
		Second Step:	continues to work to address barriers that	buildings Cool Down Zone. Work will be
		Second Step curriculum	these students are facing in trying to come	done with all staff to maximize the
		continues to be	to school.	effectiveness of the room and support the
		implemented in all		students social emotional needs.
		grade levels this year.	Suspension/Serious Incident Data:	
		The school also shifted	The school currently has 52 out-of-school	Suspension/Serious Incidents
		to the new version of	suspensions (as of 04/08/19). This is	The school will continue to track and
		the program this year	compared to 52 at the same point last	analyze student behavior data, including
		in order to better meet	year, 132 at this point in 2016-17 and 293	ATS and OSS data to provide supports to
		the needs of middle	at this point in 2015-16.	repeat students. This will include work
		school levels students.		both with the students and with the
			Cool Down Zone Data:	teachers to ensure that behaviors are
		TCIS:	As of 4/08/19 there have been 1350 visits	addressed before leading to more serious
		School #8 has one	to the Cool Down Zone. In addition to	incidents.
		certified TCIS trainer	acute crisis needs, there have been small	
		and one certified CPI	groups that have started to work with	TCIS:
		trainer on its staff. The	students on preventative strategies. These	The school will continue to offer training
		school has 25 staff	groups are available to students in grades	for staff members in TCIS. This will be
		members who are	K-8.	available to all members of the school
		trained in TCIS,		community.
		including the school		
		safety officers.		
		Updates will continue		
		to be offered once per		
		year so that staff can		
		maintain certification		
		(provided the district		
		follows up with funding		

			 			winds -	
				the "train the trainer"			
ļ				recertification.)			
ļ				•			
 				Restorative Practices:			
ļ				Restorative practices			
 				continue to be used as			
 				a way to support			
ļ				students' social			
 				emotional growth.			
 				Restorative return			
 				conferences are held			
ļ				for any student			
ļ				returning from an out			
 				of school suspension.			
ļ				As a new practice,			
ļ				Proactive Parent			
 				conferences can be			
ļ				requested by any staff			
 				member to obtain			
ļ				additional ideas and/or			
 				supports for students			
ļ				utilizing a restorative			
 				team approach.			
ļ				Finally, a workshop			
ļ				series on trauma-			
ļ				informed care is being			
ļ				rolled out to staff in			
 				monthly installments			
ļ				for PD credit.			
	19%	51% or	The school met	See Indicator #33	See Indicator #33	See Indicator #33	See Indicator #33
#9 – 3-8		10	the target for				
ELA All		percent	this indicator for				
Students		age	SY1718.				
Level 2		points =					
and	l	29%	Current trends				
		29%	Current trenus				
Above		29%	point towards				



		target for SY1819.				
#15- 3-8	51% or 10 percent age points = 25%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. The school is unsure whether it will meet this target for SY1819.	See Indicator #39	See Indicator #39	See Indicator #39	See Indicator #39
#33- ELA 49.66 All Students MGP	50.72 or +2%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. The school is unsure whether it will meet this target for SY1819.	Response to Intervention: The school continues to employ Walk to Intervention for ELA for the SY 18-19. This includes digging into multiple points of data during common planning times. This work is facilitated by the school's Instructional Coaches. In addition, the work of the Student Action Team continues to identify students with Academic Needs that need to go to the RTI team. Common Writing Assessments: Teachers have administered and	 NWEA Data Marking Period Grades Common Writing Tasks Other Formative Assessments Brigance Screeners 	Winter Reading NWEA Data: Growth Target Data: % of Students Meeting Growth Grade Level Targets 1 30.8 2 24.5 3 33.3 4 40 5 44.4 6 51.1 7 30.2 8 54.3 Overall 38.7 Projected Proficiency Data:	Rtl/Reading Specialists/Intervention Specialists: Services provided through these mechanisms will continue for next year and be refined as needed. Common Writing Assessments: These will be administered three times next year, and teachers will utilize the "Next Step Protocol" to identify areas of strength and areas of focus in order to address performance trends identified through data analysis. Blended Learning: The school will continue with its adaptive software programs, and will be exploring additional literacy-based blended learning programs that are more cross-curricularly focused in order to provide students with opportunities for simultaneous literacy and content knowledge development. Professional Learning:



scored the 1st and 2nd
Common Writing
Assessments. The
results have been put
through a next step
protocol in alignment
with the 6+1 Traits of
writing Rubrics.
Instructional strategies
based on the Protocol
will be added to
instructional practices.

Intervention Specialists:

Tier III intervention for identified students continues to be provided by highly trained teachers, who use the Really Great Reading programs and EL Skills Block curriculum to support intervention. Intervention specialists support teachers with subsequent data analysis.

Blended Learning:

Blended learning continues to provide students with access to adaptive instructional software. This provides students additional

tuni	
	Total %
	Projected
	Levels 2
	and
	Above
3	20
4	28.58
5	36.11
6	28.57
7	28
8	19.61
Total	26.48

Common Writing Assessments:

We have completed two administrations of the Common Writing Task, both of which have been scored and copied to be archived. The scores will be entered in a spreadsheet to track progress in writing. We also completed the Next Step Protocol in order to determine area of focus during the remainder of the school year. The final administration will be given during the final quarter.

Reading Intervention Specialists:

These staff continue working with students in grades K-6 to provide ELA intervention. As part of the school's flexible grouping model for Walk to Intervention, data is continually reviewed and student adjustments are made as needed.

Professional Development:

School #8 will continue to partner with EL Education in implementation of the EL Education Workplan and HOWLS.
The school was unable to secure an additional grant to fund the partnership with GCCS, but the team has already started developing a plan to continue working collaboratively and sharing resources regarding the K-2 Curriculum.

7-8 ELA

The master schedule for grades 7 and 8 will be rebuilt to ensure that students get ELA instruction daily, instead of every other day as currently scheduled.



instruction at their	Meetings occurring two times weekly to
unique academic levels.	collaboratively plan learning expeditions
Programs in use include	at all grade levels.
Lexia (K-3), Language	
Live (Grade 6 and SC @	Marking Period Grades:
Grades 7-8), Compass,	The following is a breakdown of grades at
and Raz-Kids (K-2).	Grade 6-8 for the second quarter.
Teachers and Teams	
meet to discuss these	English Total Percentage
programs on a regular	
basis depending on the	A 53 34%
program.	B 29 18%
	C 47 30%
<u>Professional</u>	D 9 6%
Development:	F 19 12%
Staff members	Total 157
continue to engage in	10tal 157
weekly professional	
learning opportunities	
in EL education and data use in the	
classroom led by the	
Instructional Coaches	
with a focus on	
disaggregating data by groups of students to	
identify and address	
gaps.	
K-2 Teachers continue	
to participate in a	
partnership with	
Genesee Community	
Charter School (GCCS),	
working with an	
Instructional Coach on	
the implementation of	
the implementation of	



							Or or		
				the K-2 EL ELA					
				Curriculum.					
#39- Math	43.78	51.17 or	The school met	Blended Learning:	•	NWEA Math	Winter M	ath NWEA Data:	RtI/Intervention Specialists:
All		2%	the target for	Students grades K-5 are	•	Marking Period	Growth To	ırget Data:	Services provided through these
Students			this indicator for	utilizing Zearn as part		Grades		% of	mechanisms will continue for next year
MGP			SY1718.	of their daily math	•	Other Formative		Students	and be refined as needed.
				instruction. This		Assessments		Meeting	
			The school is	provides them with		- Brigance (K)		Growth	Acceleration Opportunities:
			unsure whether	another approach to			Grade Lev	el Targets	Algebra I will be offered to 8th graders.
			it will meet this	learning the content,				1 45.8	
			target for	and is presented at				2 39.5	Blended Learning:
			SY1819.	their pace.				3 52.5	The school will continue to utilize Zearn to
								4 51.6	support math in grades K-5. Zearn
				Math AIS Class for				5 30	provides students with an online learning
				Grade 7:				6 40	system in alignment with the Engage
				The school is utilizing				7 55.8	Curriculum. Teachers then provide small
				an Intervention				8 67.4	group instruction to half the class while
				Specialist to work with			Over		the others work with Zearn.
				the 7 th graders in the			Projected	Proficiency Data:	
				area of math, providing				Total %	<u>7-8 Math</u>
				small group and				Projected	The master schedule for grades 7 and 8
				blended learning				Levels 2	will be rebuilt to ensure that students get
				opportunities using				and	Math instruction daily, instead of every
				Prodigy Math.				Above	other day as currently scheduled.
							*		
				<u>Acceleration</u>					
				Opportunity:					
				22 8 th grade students					
				are taking Algebra I.			3	29.55	
							4	_	
				<u>Professional</u>			5	32.14	
				Development:			6	13.89	
				Staff members engage			7	18.37	
				in ongoing data inquiry				16.33	
				and analysis, examining			8	8.33	
							Total	20.21	



everything from	
patterns in	
student work to results	
from formal	
assessments,	Marking Period Grades:
disaggregating data by	The following is a grade breakdown of the
groups of students to	second quarter for grades 6-8.
identify and address	
gaps in achievement.	Math Total Percentage
District-provided Zearn	A 41 21%
Professional Learning opportunities for	B 54 28%
teachers, teacher	C 39 20%
leaders and	
administrators. This	D 25 13%
includes visits to other	F 37 19%
schools to observe	Total 196
strategies being used.	
	Blended Learning:
	Zearn Implementation:
	Lessons Completed Per week
	Grade 1: 1.7
	Grade 2: 1.7
	Grade 3: 1.5
	Grade 4: 1.7
	Grade 5: 1.8
	Algebra Regents Exam (January):
	The 8th graders taking the Algebra class
	were given the Algebra exam in January. 5
	students of 19 earned a score of 65 or
	higher with 5 students within 10 points of
	the 65 mark.



						F OF NE			
#85-	28%	57% or	The school did	EL Expeditions:	NWEA ELA, Math,	NWEA Data			EL Expeditions:
Grades 4		10	not meet the	Each grade level is	Science	Growth Target	Data:		Expeditions will continue next year, with
and 8		percent	target for this	either in the midst of or	 Marking Period Grades 	% of			each grade level undertaking one
Science All		age	indicator for	preparing to begin their		Stude Meeti			expedition per semester, and with
Students		points =	SY1718.	second expedition of		Grow			continued alignment of expeditions to
Level 3 &		38%		the year. Many of these		Grade Level Targe			grades 4 and 8 science curricula as well as
Above			The school is	expeditions have been		8	33		ELA modules.
			unsure whether	done in previous years,		Overall 4	2.35		
			it will meet this	so certain components					NWEA for Science:
			target for	will remain the same.		Marking Perio	d Grades:		This tool will continue to be used next
			SY1819.	However, the High-				reakdown of the	year to progress monitor student growth
				Quality Work goal in		second quarter	_		and to formulate small groups for
				the school's EL		Science	Total	Percentage	targeted intervention or acceleration
				Education Work Plan		A	54	35%	opportunities. Additionally, the school
				indicates that students					will progress monitor student growth on
				will be focusing on the production of		В	37	24%	the performance sections of the
				expedition final		С	29	19%	assessments by administering formative
				products that		D	8	5%	assessments using science kits aligned to skills tested on the assessment.
				demonstrate increased		F	26	17%	skins tested on the assessment.
				complexity,		Total	154		Acceleration/Expanded Learning
				authenticity, and		Total	154		Opportunities:
				craftsmanship, which					The school will offer acceleration
				will be addressed					opportunities for 8th graders next year, in
				through professional					the form of either Living Environment or
				learning on the school's					Earth Science.
				final Early Release Day					
				on 4/26. Grade-Level					
				TEAMs will work					
				collaboratively to					
				create criteria for high					
				quality work on their					
				expedition final					
				products, and then use					
				that criteria to create a					
				model that can be used					
				by students to ensure					
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1			



their work is high-	
quality. The school also	
has a significant	
number of field studies	
planned for the	
remainder of the	
school year, all directly	
related to topics of	
expeditions. For	
example, Fifth Grade	
will travel to	
Letchworth State Park	
to learn more about	
conservation of our	
region's biome.	
Seventh grade will	
travel to the	
Downtown Library to	
kick-off their expedition	
on Frederick Douglass,	
where they will be met	
by a Douglass	
impersonator. They will	
also go to RMSC to	
study the Flight to	
Freedom exhibit on the	
Underground Railroad.	
First grade will be going	
to the RMSC and	
Strasenburgh	
Planetarium to support	
their expedition on the	
Sun, Moon, & Stars.	
Each grade level is fine-	
tuning its past	
expeditions to ensure	
students are receiving	



valuable cross-	
curricular experiences	
based on the ELA	
modules.	
Science Champion:	
The school has	
identified a person to	
act as a liaison with the	
district to support	
science instruction in	
the school. This	
individual will help with	
getting necessary	
materials and supplies	
for classrooms. This	
includes the ordering of	
RISE kits for practicing	
for the hands on	
portion of the exam, as	
well as materials to	
provide hands on	
experiences for the	
students.	
Accelerated Learning	
Opportunities:	
The school offers Living	
Environment to 39 8 th	
grade students this	
school year.	



Gree n Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <u>with impact</u>.

Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.

Red

Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.

<u>Part II</u> – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 2)

	school's Lev	el 2 indicato	-	What are the SCEP/SIG/SIF goals and or key strategies which have supported progress in this demonstrable improvement indicator? Include a discussion of any adjustments made to key strategies since the last reporting period and a rationale as to why these adjustments were made.			you choose to send us data documents that 2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan for Meeting this Indicator
#11- 3-8 ELA Black Students Level 2 & Above	17%	44% or 10 percenta ge points = 27%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718.	65.5% of the school's st Student population. See Indicator #33.	tudent population is con	sidered "Black or African American." This is	a significant portion of the school's All



			Current trends point towards meeting this target for				
			SY1819.				
#14 – 3-8 ELA ED Students Level 2 & Above	19%	45% or 10 percenta ge points = 29%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. Current trends point towards meeting this target for SY1819.	94.3% (still awaiting 27 income eligibility forms) of the school's student population is considered "Economically Disadvantaged." a significant portion of the school's All Student population. See Indicator #33.			
#17 – 3-8 Math Black Students Level 2 & Above	14%	41% or 10 percenta ge points = 24%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. The school is unsure whether it will meet this target for SY1819.	65.5% of the school's student population. See Indicator #39.	udent population is con	sidered "Black or African American." This is	s a significant portion of the school's All
#20 – 3-8 Math ED Students Level 2 & Above	15%	45% or 10 percenta ge points = 25%	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. The school is unsure whether it will meet this target for SY1819.	,	• ,	SPA data 04/09/19) of the school's studen the school's All Student population.	t population is considered "Economically
#94 – Provide 200 Hours of Extended Day	N/A	SED Rubric	The school met the target for this indicator for SY1718. Current trends point towards meeting this target for SY1819.	School #8's expanded learning model continues to impact the school's positive growth in climate. Students are able to choose music ensembles to join in	 Attendance Data School Safety Data Staff/Student/ Parent Satisfaction Surveys 	See Indicator #5 and NYSED ELT rubric.	School #8 will continue to offer its robust ELT programming next year, heavily focused on arts, music, recess and development of students' socialemotional skills. Additional partners will include, Project Unique, an art residency program, Therapy Dogs International, and Alessi yoga.



both vocal and	 Provider 	Arts/Music/Expeditions:
instrumental music.	Survey	The school will continue to offer various
The YMCA offers		opportunities for students to engage in
wrap around services		arts and music during ELT, and is
for students in grades		exploring the increase of piano offerings.
K-8.		This includes building on the Theater
		program that was started this year.
Recess:		Students will look to engage in a
All students are		standalone Theater program allowing
provided an		students to participate in theater without
opportunity to		missing core academic classes.
receive daily recess		Expeditions will continue, one expedition
lead by their		per grade level per semester, and
classroom teacher.		Expedition Nights, showcasing academic
		student learning as well as learning
TEAM Time:		resulting from enrichments will take
All students start and		place. Students will engage in student-led
end their day in		conferences, in which they become the
TEAM time, led by		facilitators of discussion about their
two consistent		learning.
adults. Part of the		
work is focused on		Recess:
the Second Step		Structured recess will continue next year,
Social Emotional		and students will receive 25 minutes of
curriculum. In		recess daily. Recess will again be
addition, students		facilitated by School #8 teaching staff.
participate in grade		
level meetings and		TEAM Time:
town hall meetings		TEAM Time will continue, with a focus on
during this time. The		development of social-emotional skills
school's next		through use of Second Step curricula and
SchoolWide Town		restorative practices.
Hall Meeting will be		
4/22/19. Grade level		
meetings are		
occuring daily in		
grades 5 and 6.		



Gree
n
Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy with impact.

Yellow

Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.

led

Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.

<u>Part III</u> – Additional Key Strategies – (As applicable)

(This section should only be completed as needed, for strategies not already listed in Parts I and II.

Key Strategies Identify any key strategies being implemented during the current reporting period that are not described in Part I or II above but are embedded in the approved intervention plan/budget and are instrumental in meeting projected school improvement outcomes. Identify the evidence that supports your assessment of implementation/impact of key strategies, the connection to goals, and the likelihood of meeting targets set forth in the intervention Plan. Responses should be directly aligned with approved 2018-19 interventions plans (SIG or SCEP) and should include evidence and/or data used to make determinations. If the school has selected the SIG 6 Innovation Framework model, please include as one of the key strategies the analysis of effectiveness of the lead partner working with the school if not described in Part I and II above.						
List the Key Strategy from your approved intervention plan (SIG or SCEP).	Status (R/Y/G)	Analysis/Report Out	2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan			
Use of technology in the classroom to deliver instruction		School #8 currently is 1:1 in 14 classrooms grades 3-8 and 2:1 in five classrooms. Additional teachers have registered to take the trainings required to move to a 1:1 environment. A Chrome cart has been added to the Intervention Specialists' programs for use in daily instruction. Chrome towers have been added to the PK-2 classrooms to create a 2:1 environment grades PK-2. Teachers are using this hardware to utilize instructional programs in their classrooms. These include: Math: Zearn, Compass, and Prodigy and ELA: Lexia, Raz-Kids, Compass, Language Live. Teachers are also using Google Apps for Education and other online tools, including Google Classroom, to engage students, enhance learning, differentiate instruction and move up the SAMR model.	School #8 will continue to benefit from RCSD's Digital Transformation. The school will receive additional Chromebook carts, increasing the student:technology ratio. Professional development pertaining to integration of technology into instruction will be led by and Instructional Technology TOA, and continued use of adaptive software will support students' unique academic and linguistic needs. 1:1- 14 2:1- 5 3:1- 4			



	1	1				
2.	EPO (lead partner) for SIG 6 and	N/A	N/A			
	SIG 7 ONLY					
3.	"See Key strategies" as outlined in					
	Executive Summary and as					
	Executive Summary and as					
	referred to within Indicator					
	descriptions.					
4.						
5.						
Gre	Expected results for this phase of the	Yellow	Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending	Red	Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / sper	nding encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major
en	project are fully met, work is on budget,		exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to		strategy adjustment is required.	
	and the school is fully implementing this		achieve desired results.		·	
	strategy <u>with impact</u> .					

<u>Part IV</u> – Community Engagement Team and Receivership Powers

Commu	Community Engagement Team (CET)					
Describe	the type, nature, frequency and outcomes of meetings conducted this quarter by the CET and its sub-committees that may be charged with addressing specific	ecific components of CET Plan. Describe				
outcomes	of the CET plan implementation, school support, and dissemination of information. Please identify any changes in the community engagement plan and/or ch	anges in the membership structure of the				
CET for th	e 2019-20 School Year.					
Status	Analysis/Report Out	2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan				
(R/Y/G)						
	The Community Engagement Team (CET) continues to consist of representatives of school staff, leadership, and community based organizations. They are	The School will continue to include				
	scheduled to meet one time per month. The first meeting was held on October 1. The school's updated CET roster can be accessed here:	members of the CET to support the				
	https://www.rcsdk12.org/Page/43321.					
	Items discussed and reported on during these meeting include DTSDE review updates, updates on social-emotional learning, instructional progress updates, discussed at the May meeting to					
	attendance data, community school updates, partnership updates, and EL Education updates.	determine structures of support for the				
		2019-2020 school year.				

Powers	Powers of the Receiver							
Describe	Describe this quarter's use of the School Receiver's powers (pursuant to those identified in CR §100.19). Discuss the goals and the impact of those powers. Please identify any changes in Receivership Powers to							
be utilize	d in the 2019-20 School Year.							
Status	Analysis/Report Out					2019-20 School Year Continuation Plan		
(R/Y/G)								
	 The Superintendent Receiver Authority continues to be utilized in multiple ways for the 18-19 school year: Election to Work Agreements (EWA) continue to ensure that teachers at Receivership schools committed to the priorities of each school. Additionally, the EWA allowed Principals to involuntarily transfer teachers out of the school who were not aligned to the priorities of the school or hold teachers who were being recruited by other schools. Staffing continues to be a priority for all Receivership schools by the Department of Human Capital Initiatives. Flexible opportunities for hiring teachers and Receivership schools are given first access to available teachers. Student Placement procedures at the District level were reorganized for Receivership schools by allowing minimal new placements in the schools. All placements are reviewed by the School Chief before any decisions were made. The Chief of Superintendent's Receivership Schools holds weekly team phone calls to focus on short-term needs and monthly professional learning/team meetings to focus on additional professional development opportunities and long range planning. Curricular and master scheduling flexibility was a priority for the Receivership schools allowing flexibility for the Receivership Principals to focus on their student needs that other comprehensive schools in the District were not allowed. 							
Green	Expected results for this phase of the project are	Yellow	Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending	Red	Major barriers to implementation / outcomes /			
	fully met, work is on budget, and the school is		exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.		spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being			
	fully implementing this strategy with impact.		achieve desired results.		realized; major strategy adjustment is required.	1		

<u>Part V</u> – Budget – (As applicable)

(Please complete this section regarding any applicable funding: such as the Community School Grant (CSG), the Persistently Struggling Schools Grant (PSSG) and/or the School Improvement Grant (SIG) 1003(g). Add rows as needed.)

Budget Analysis			

Please designate either as CSG, PSSG or SIG expenditures and describe the budget item or activity.	Status (R/Y/G)	If expenditures from the approved 18-19 FS-10 and Budget Narrative are on target, describe their impact with regard to the implementation of the plan. If there is a challenge with expenditures, discuss the course correction to be put in place.	ALONG WITH THIS REPORT/CONTINUATION PLAN, PLEASE SUBMIT AS APPLICABLE: - SIG FS-10 2019-20 BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE AS APPLICABLE. DO NOT SUBMIT CSG or PSSG BUDGET DOCUMENTS. BUDGET FORMS ARE AVAILABLE AT:
SIG		The school's SIG currently supports the following: Code 155 Social Worker to provide additional social-emotional and mental health supports3 Art Teacher to provide additional arts-based opportunities through expanded learning programming. 200 hours of additional hourly pay for teachers to support summer planning pertaining to the school's instructional and social-emotional priorities. Code 40 - Supports the school's contracts with their lead partner, EL Education and The Center for Youth. Both partnerships have positively impacted the school's instructional and social-emotional gains. Code 45 - Supports the school's expanded learning programming. Impact of these supports are evidenced in the school's overall improvement trajectory, including both academic and social-emotional measures.	http://www.oms.nysed.gov/cafe/forms/.
CSG		The CSG supports the following at School #8; expenditures are on track and supporting student achievement: Code 15 - Funds 1.0 FTE Community Schools Site Coordinator to continue to establish Community Schools Model as aligned to the initial Needs Assessment. Code 16 - Additional .5 cleaner to support ELT.	



	Code 30 - All projects will be completed by June 30, 2019. Code 40 - Supports contract with Center for Youth for 1.0 FTE Therapist.	

Part VII: Best Practices (Optional)

The New York State Education Department recognizes the importance of sharing best practices within schools and districts. Please take this opportunity to share one or more best practices currently being implemented in the school that has resulted in significant improvements in student performance, instructional practice, student/family engagement, and/or school climate. It is the intention of the Department to share these best practices with schools and districts in Receivership. List the best practice currently being implemented in the school. Describe the significant improvements in student performance, instructional practice, student/family engagement, and/or school climate that the best practice has had. Discuss the analysis of data/evidence to determine the impact. Describe the possibility of replication in other schools. The school is implementing many evidence-based strong practices as outlined above, and is showing improvement as a result.

Part VII - Assurance and Attestation

3.



By signing below, I attest to the fact that the information in this quarterly report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge; and that the all requirements with regard to public hearings and the Community Engagement Teams, as per CR§ 100.19 have been met.

Name of Receiver (Print):	
Signature of Receiver:	
Date:	
By signing below, I attest to the fact that the Community Engagemer	nt Team has had the opportunity to provide input into this quarterly report and into the 2018-2019
Continuation Plan, and has had the opportunity to review, and update	te if necessary, its 2019-2020 Community Engagement Team plan and membership.
Name of CET Representative (Print):	
Signature of CET Representative:	<u>_</u>
Date:	<u> </u>